



9-11-1: APPENDIX A, COMPATIBILITY EVALUATION:

- A. *General: One of the primary functions of traditional zoning is to classify land uses so that those which are not fully compatible or congruous can be geographically separated from each other. The county has opted to substitute traditional zoning with a multiple use concept in which there is no separation of land uses. Proposed incompatible uses may adversely affect existing uses, people, or lands in numerous ways: noise, odors, creation of hazards, view, water contamination, loss of needed or desired resources, property values, or infringe on a desired lifestyle. To ensure that the county can continue to grow and develop without causing such land use problems and conflicts, a mechanism designed to identify and discourage land use proposals which will be incompatible at particular locations has been devised. The compatibility evaluation of all conditional uses also provides for evaluations in a manner which is both systematic and consistent.*
- B. Purpose; Use:
1. The compatibility rating is to be used as a tool to assist in the determination of compatibility. The compatibility rating is not the sole deciding factor in the approval or denial of any application.
  2. Staff prepares a preliminary compatibility rating for conditional use permits, except for conditional use permits for PUDs. The commission reviews the compatibility rating and may change any value.
- C. General Evaluation: Completing the compatibility questions and evaluation (form):
1. All evaluations shall be made as objectively as possible by assignment of points for each of a series of questions. Points shall be assigned as follows:  
Plus 2 - assigned for full compatibility (adjacency encouraged).  
Plus 1 - assigned for partial compatibility (adjacency not necessarily encouraged).  
0 - assigned if not applicable or neutral.  
Minus 1 - assigned for minimal compatibility (adjacency not discouraged).  
Minus 2 - assigned for no compatibility (adjacency not acceptable).
  2. Each response value shall be multiplied by some number, which indicates how important that particular response is relative to all the others. Multipliers shall be any of the following:  
x4 - indicates major relative importance.  
x3 - indicates above average relative importance.  
x2 - indicates below average relative importance.  
x1 - indicates minor relative importance.
- D. Matrix - Questions 1 Through 3: The following matrix shall be utilized, wherever practical, to determine response values for questions one through three (3). Uses classified and listed in the left hand column and across the top of the matrix represent possible proposed, adjacent, or vicinity land uses. Each box indicates the extent of compatibility between any two (2) intersecting uses. These numbers should not be changed from proposal to proposal, except where distinctive uses arise which may present unique compatibility considerations. The commission shall determine whether or not there is a unique consideration.
- E. Terms:
- DOMINANT ADJACENT LAND USE:** Any use which is within three hundred feet (300') of the use boundary being proposed; and
1. Comprises at least one-half (1/2) of the adjacent uses and one-fourth (1/4) of the total adjacent area; or
  2. Where two (2) or more uses compete equally in number and are more frequent than all the other uses, the one with the greatest amount of acreage is the dominant land use; or
  3. In all other situations, no dominant land use exists. When this occurs, the response value shall be zero.
- LOCAL VICINITY:** Land uses within a one to three (3) mile radius. The various uses therein should be identified and averaged to determine the overall use of the land.
- F. Questions 4 Through 9:
1. In determining the response values for questions 4 through 9, the evaluators shall consider the information contained in the application, the goals and objectives of the comprehensive plan, the provisions of this title and related ordinances, information gained from an actual inspection of the site, and information gathered by the staff.
  2. The evaluator or commission shall also consider proposed mitigation of the determined impacts. Adequacy of the mitigation will be a factor.

APPENDIX A

MATRIX FOR RATING  
QUESTIONS 1, 2, and 3

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		
RESIDENTIAL USES	1. AGRICULTURAL	■	+2	-1	-2	-2	-2	+1	+1	+1	+1	+2	+1	+1	-1	-1	+2	-1	-2	-1	+1	+2	+2	1	
	2. RESIDENCE, S.F.	+2	■	+2	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+2	+1	-2	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-2	-2	2	
	3. SUBDIVISION, S.F.	-1	+2	■	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+2	+1	-2	+1	-1	+1	+2	+1	-1	+2	+1	-2	-2	3
	4. M.H. or R.V. PARK	-2	+1	+1	■	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+2	+1	-2	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-2	-2	4
	5. RESIDENCE, M.F.	-2	+1	+1	+1	■	+2	+2	+1	+1	-1	+2	+1	-2	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-2	-2	5
	6. SUBDIVISION, M.F.	-2	+1	+1	+1	+2	■	+2	+1	+1	-1	+2	+1	-2	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-2	-2	6
	7. P.U.D., RES.	-2	+1	+1	+1	+2	+2	■	+1	+1	-1	+2	+1	-2	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-2	-2	7
CIVIC or COMMUNITY SERVICE USES	8. REL, EDUC & REHAB	+1	+2	+1	+1	+1	+1	■	+1	+1	-1	+2	-2	-1	-1	+2	+2	+1	+1	-1	+1	-2	-1	8	
	9. FRAT or GOV'T	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	■	+1	-1	+2	-2	-1	-1	+1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	-2	-2	9	
	10. PUBLIC UTIL. (1A-3.1)	+1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	■	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+2	+2	10
	11. PUBLIC REC.	+1	+2	+2	+2	+2	+2	+2	-1	-1	+1	■	+2	-1	+1	+1	+1	+2	+1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	11
	12. CEMETERY	+2	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+2	+2	+2	+2	■	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+2	+1	+1	12
	13. LANDFILL or SWR. PLANT	+1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-1	-1	+1	■	-1	-1	-2	-2	-2	-2	-1	+2	+2	+2	13
COMMERCIAL USES	14. PRIV. REC. (PER)	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	■	+1	+1	+1	+2	+1	+2	+2	-1	+1	14
	15. PRIV. REC. (CON)	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	■	-2	-2	-1	-2	-2	+2	-1	+1	15
INDUST. USES	16. NEIGHBORHOOD BUS.	-1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+2	+1	+1	+1	+1	-2	+1	-2	■	+1	+2	+2	+1	+2	-1	-1	16
	17. RESIDENCE BUS.	+2	+2	+2	+1	+1	+1	+1	+2	+1	-1	+2	+1	-2	+1	-2	+1	■	+1	-1	+1	+1	-2	-2	17
	18. SERV. BUS.	-1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+2	+2	+2	+2	+2	+1	■	+2	+2	+1	+1	+1	21
	19. AREA BUS.	-2	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	-2	+1	-2	+2	-1	+2	■	+1	+2	-2	-2	19
	20. REC. BUS.	-2	+2	+2	+1	+1	+1	+1	-1	-1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+2	-2	+1	+1	+2	+1	■	+2	-2	+1	20
INDUST. USES	21. LIGHT IND.	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+2	+2	+2	+2	+2	+2	+1	+2	+2	+2	■	+1	+1	21
	22. HEAVY IND.	+2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	+2	-1	+1	+2	-1	-1	-1	-2	-1	-2	-2	+1	■	+2	22
	23. EXTR. IND.	+2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2	-1	-2	+2	+1	+1	+2	+1	+1	-1	-2	-1	-2	+1	+1	+2	■	23

RATE THE SOLID SQUARES AS +2

### Compatibility Questions and Evaluation

Matrix Line # / Use: No Matrix Line Prepared by: CH  
Appurtenant to Residence

YES/NO	X	Response Value	<u>Use Matrix Values:</u>
(+2/-2)	<u>+1</u>	X 4 <u>+4</u>	1. Is the proposed use compatible with the dominant adjacent land use? <i>S.F. residential</i>
(+2/-2)	<u>+1</u>	X 2 <u>+2</u>	2. Is the proposed use compatible with the other adjacent land uses (total and average)? <i>S.F. residential</i>
(+2/-2)	<u>+1</u>	X 1 <u>+1</u>	3. Is the proposed use generally compatible with the overall land use in the local vicinity? <i>S.F. residential + ag</i>

Site Specific Evaluation (Impacts and Proposed Mitigation)

(+2/-2)	<u>+1</u>	X 3 <u>+3</u>	4. Is the property large enough, does the existence of wooded area, or does the lay of the land help to minimize any potential impacts the proposed use may have on adjacent uses? <i>Large w/ few trees</i>
(+2/-2)	<u>+2</u>	X 1 <u>+2</u>	5. Is the size or scale of proposed <u>lots and/or</u> structures similar to adjacent ones? <i>Same general scale as the house</i>
(+2/-2)	<u>+2</u>	X 2 <u>+4</u>	6. Is the traffic volume and character to be generated by the proposed use similar to the uses on properties that will be affected by proximity to parking lots, on-site roads, or access roads? <i>0</i>
(+2/-2)	<u>+1</u>	X 2 <u>+2</u>	7. Is the potential impact on adjacent properties due to the consuming or emission of any resource or substance compatible with that of existing uses? <i>Will be visual impact</i>
(+2/-2)	<u>+2</u>	X 2 <u>+4</u>	8. Is the proposed use compatible with the abilities of public agencies to provide service or of public facilities to accommodate the proposed use demands on utilities, fire and police protection, schools, roads, traffic control, parks, and open areas? <i>0</i>
(+2/-2)	<u>+2</u>	X 2 <u>+4</u>	9. Is the proposed use cost effective when comparing the cost for providing public services and improving public facilities to the increases in public revenue from the improved property? <i>0</i>

Sub-Total (+) 26  
 Sub-Total (--) -  
 Total Score +26

The resulting values for each questions shall be totaled so that each land use and development proposal receives a single final score.

UP 25-032

### Compatibility Questions and Evaluation

Matrix Line # / Use: N/A

Prepared by: CP

YES/NO      X      Response Value

Use Matrix Values:

(+2/-2) 1 X 4 4

1. Is the proposed use compatible with the dominant adjacent land use?

(+2/-2) 0 X 2 0

2. Is the proposed use compatible with the other adjacent land uses (total and average)?

(+2/-2) 0 X 1 0

3. Is the proposed use generally compatible with the overall land use in the local vicinity?

Site Specific Evaluation (Impacts and Proposed Mitigation)

(+2/-2) 1 X 3 3

4. Is the property large enough, does the existence of wooded area, or does the lay of the land help to minimize any potential impacts the proposed use may have on adjacent uses?

(+2/-2) 0 X 1 0

5. Is the size or scale of proposed lots and/or structures similar to adjacent ones?

(+2/-2) 2 X 2 4

6. Is the traffic volume and character to be generated by the proposed use similar to the uses on properties that will be affected by proximity to parking lots, on-site roads, or access roads?

(+2/-2) 0 X 2 0

7. Is the potential impact on adjacent properties due to the consuming or emission of any resource or substance compatible with that of existing uses?

(+2/-2) 1 X 2 2

8. Is the proposed use compatible with the abilities of public agencies to provide service or of public facilities to accommodate the proposed use demands on utilities, fire and police protection, schools, roads, traffic control, parks, and open areas?

(+2/-2) 2 X 2 4

9. Is the proposed use cost effective when comparing the cost for providing public services and improving public facilities to the increases in public revenue from the improved property?

Sub-Total (+) 17

Sub-Total (--)  
0

Total Score 17

The resulting values for each questions shall be totaled so that each land use and development proposal receives a single final score.